

KENYA PERCEPTION
SURVEY-DRAFT JULY

## Methodology

When was the survey conducted?
How was the survey conducted?

Universe and Survey sample

What was the response rate?
Margin of error

## Weighting

Survey Geographical Coverage
$\left.\right|^{\text {st }}$ July $-25^{\text {th }}$ July 2022
Quantitative interviews were conducted through Computer Assisted Personal Interviews (CAPI)
The relevant section of the public that was targeted in the survey (i.e. the universe) was persons who were 18 years and above at the time of the survey. The overall survey had a target sample size of 2418 respondents.
$92 \%$ response rate.
$\mathbf{\pm 2 \%}$ at $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ confidence interval.
Poststratification weights have not yet been applied to the data. After data collection, weights will be applied to the achieved data to ensure demographic representation/spread of the male and female gender, urban and rural, in the target counties. When the achieved interviews slightly differ from the intended proportions for a demographic category, the survey dataset is weighted using weights to correct sample characteristics to represent the target population.

The survey was conducted in 24 counties across the eight regions of Kenya.
Quantitative Data was processed and analyzed using SPSS version 26 statistical software due to it high accuracy and reliability.

## infotrak Comparisons of Column Proportions Interpretation (Significance Test)

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
The significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05"

Section A: General
Situation of the Country

## Direction Country is headed to



## Direction Country is headed to

Research \& Consulting


## Direction Country is headed to



## Reasons for right direction



Base n= 402, right direction.

## Reasons for wrong direction



Base $\mathbf{n = 2 4}$ l $\mathbf{8 , A l l}$
resp.


Base n= 1691, wrong direction.
 Government currently

## Key issues facing Kenyan Households



Section B: Knowledge of Devolution
infotrak Knowledge of the devolved system


## infotrak Knowledge of the devolved system of governance


inforrak Knowledge of the devolved system


## infotrak Knowledge of the devolved system <br> of governance




## Key functions of the county government awareness

## Key functions of the county assembly awareness

 implementation


## Satisfaction with devolution implementation



## Section C: County

Government, Elected
Officials Service Delivery


Performance of County
Governments

| $\mathrm{I} / 2$ | Base (n) | Very good | Somewhat <br> good | Somewhat <br> Poor | Very Poor | No opinion | Don't know | RTA |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kakamega | 154 | $32 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $5 \%$ | - | - |  |
| Bomet | 72 | $30 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $14 \%$ | - | - |  |
| Uasin Gishu | 107 | $30 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $2 \%$ | - | - |
| Mandera | 53 | $19 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $4 \%$ | - |  |
| Turkana | 72 | $16 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $4 \%$ | - | - |
| West Pokot | 43 | $13 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $8 \%$ | - | - |  |
| Kwale | 68 | $12 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $13 \%$ | - | - |  |
| Kisumu | 101 | $12 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $1 \%$ | - | - |
| Wajir | 53 | $10 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $38 \%$ | - | - |  |
| Nyamira | 53 | $10 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $1 \%$ | - | - |
| Nakuru | 196 | $8 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $1 \%$ | - | - |
| Nairobi City | 470 | $6 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $2 \%$ | - | - |

Performance of County
Governments

| 2/2 | Base (n) | Very good | Somewhat good | Somewhat Poor | Very Poor | No opinion | Don't know | RTA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Garissa | 62 | 5\% | 26\% | 34\% | 34\% | 2\% | - | - |
| Murang'a | 106 | 4\% | 44\% | 30\% | 22\% | 1\% | - | - |
| Mombasa | 125 | 4\% | 44\% | 28\% | 23\% | 1\% | 1\% | - |
| Nyeri | 80 | 3\% | 71\% | 21\% | 3\% | 1\% | - | - |
| Trans Nzoia | 80 | 3\% | 29\% | 26\% | 40\% | 1\% | 1\% | - |
| Meru | 148 | 2\% | 40\% | 31\% | 23\% | 2\% | - | 1\% |
| Kitui | 97 | 2\% | 16\% | 27\% | 34\% | 10\% | 4\% | 7\% |
| Kericho | 79 | 1\% | 65\% | 28\% | 5\% | - | - | - |
| Kajiado | 103 | 1\% | 45\% | 26\% | 25\% | 2\% | 1\% | - |
| Lamu | 30 | - | 59\% | 38\% | 3\% | - | - | - |
| Marsabit | 34 | - | 13\% | 38\% | 45\% | 3\% | 3\% | - |
| Samburu | 33 | - | 39\% | 48\% | 13\% | - | - | - |
| Total | 2418 | 9\% | 51\% | 21\% | 16\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0.4\% |

## Performance of County Governors

| 1/2 | Base (n) | Very good | Somewhat good | Somewhat Poor | Very Poor | No opinion | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kakamega | 154 | 53\% | 36\% | 7\% | 3\% | - | - |
| Uasin Gishu | 107 | 29\% | 55\% | 9\% | 3\% | 5\% | - |
| Bomet | 72 | 27\% | 42\% | 14\% | 17\% | - | - |
| Mandera | 53 | 23\% | 40\% | 8\% | 19\% | 6\% | 4\% |
| Kwale | 68 | 23\% | 53\% | 13\% | II\% | - | - |
| West Pokot | 43 | 21\% | 38\% | 31\% | 10\% | - | - |
| Nyeri | 80 | 19\% | 59\% | 15\% | 6\% | 1\% | - |
| Turkana | 72 | 14\% | 57\% | 7\% | 18\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Kisumu | 101 | 14\% | 65\% | 10\% | 8\% | 2\% | - |
| Nyamira | 53 | 13\% | 43\% | 14\% | 27\% | 3\% | - |
| Nakuru | 196 | 13\% | 48\% | 25\% | 12\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Wajir | 53 | 10\% | 27\% | 27\% | 33\% | - | 2\% |
| Nairobi City | 470 | 6\% | 53\% | 19\% | I 1\% | 6\% | 4\% |

Performance of County Governors

| 2/2 | Base (n) | Very good | Somewhat good | Somewhat Poor | Very Poor | No opinion | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lamu | 30 | 6\% | 31\% | 28\% | 34\% | - | - |
| Murang'a | 106 | 5\% | 37\% | 35\% | 20\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| Garissa | 62 | 5\% | 35\% | 21\% | 39\% | - | - |
| Mombasa | 125 | 4\% | 37\% | 23\% | 35\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Trans Nzoia | 80 | 3\% | 28\% | 14\% | 52\% | I\% | I\% |
| Meru | 148 | 3\% | 42\% | 25\% | 28\% | 2\% | - |
| Kericho | 79 | 3\% | 24\% | 49\% | 19\% | 4\% | 1\% |
| Kajiado | 103 | 2\% | 44\% | 23\% | 27\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| Kitui | 97 | 2\% | 13\% | 25\% | 48\% | 8\% | 4\% |
| Marsabit | 34 | - | 13\% | 35\% | 48\% | 3\% | 3\% |
| Samburu | 33 | - | 29\% | 35\% | 32\% | 3\% | - |
| Total | 2418 | 13\% | 43\% | 20\% | 20\% | 3\% | I\% |



State of the Country since the implementation of County Governments

infotrak
State of the Country since the implementation of County

- Better

■Worse ■ Don't know

Refused to Answer



inforak Views concerning National and ant
$\square$ Urban ( $\mathrm{n}=990$ ) $\quad$ Rural $(\mathrm{n}=1428) \quad \square$ Total $(\mathrm{n}=2418)$


Development expectations by leaders

|  | A lot | A little bit | Not at all | Don't know | RTA |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chief | $38 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| President | $26 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Ward Administrator | $22 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Governor | $18 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| Member of County Assembly | $17 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Woman representative | $12 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $9 \%$ |  |
| Senator | $11 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $13 \%$ |  |
| County Commissioner |  |  |  |  |  |

## Section D: Public

 Participation and Budget Making
## Citizens involvement in decision making

■ I have seen the MCA involving people in deciding which projects should be supported in the county
■ The county government solicits people's views on budgets for the county government

- My county government lets people decide which projects to be implemented


A infotrak Awareness of public forums organised by the County Governments

■ Yes ■ No ■RTA


## infotrak Awareness of public forums organised by the County Governments

■ Yes ■ No ■RTA


## Means of public forum awareness




Rating issues concerning the public forums

|  | Strongly <br> agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly <br> disagreed | Don't <br> know | RTA |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The public participation forum was conducted in a language that majority <br> of the participants could understand | $50 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $5 \%$ | - | - |
| During that meeting people were given time to submit their <br> contributions or raise their opinions | $37 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $7 \%$ | - | $1 \%$ |
| The documents discussed during that meeting were in a language that <br> most of the public members could understand | $29 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| The documents discussed during that meeting were in a format that most <br> participants were comfortable with | $24 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| The documents discussed in the meeting were shared well in advance for <br> the public to read before the meeting | $16 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |

## Comparison between public meeting attendance organized by the County governments, MCAs and Chiefs



Base n= 2418, All resp.

In the last 12 months, did you attend any public meeting In the last 12 months, did you attend any public meeting organized by your County Government which was open organized by your Member of County Assembly which to all citizens in the area? was open to all citizens in the area?

In the last 12 months, did you attend any public meeting organized by your area Chief which was open to all citizens in the area?

## Performance of both the national government and the county

government


My area chief is more effective in his/her work than the ward administrator


My ward administrator is more effective in his/her work than my chief.

|  | Never | Once or twice | A few times | Many times | Don't know | RTA |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Your economic status, that is, how rich or <br> poor you are <br> Your ethnicity | $57 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Political affiliation/leaning |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Your religion | $58 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Gender | $59 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Age | $64 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Disability | $66 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |

## Section E:Access to information and transparency

## Knowledge of the county frameworks, strategies, and systems for access to information

|  | Yes/Ndio | No | Don't know |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Various county departments | $49 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| County official e.g. ward administrator | $47 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Existence of county offices responsible for access to information | $41 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Project notice board | $40 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Existence of county offices for complaints and grievances redress mechanisms | $37 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| County website | $36 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| County public participation office | $31 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Existence of county digital platforms on complaints and grievances redress mechanisms | $29 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $14 \%$ |

## Ease of meeting with leaders

|  | Very easy | Easy | Somewhat <br> easy | Not easy | Don't know | RTA |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meet the area Chief | $48 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| Meet the Ward Administrator | $21 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Meet your MCA | $18 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| Meet the Assistant County Commissioner <br> Meet Other top county government officials (e.g. CEC, <br> Chief officer, Directors) <br> Meet Governor of your county | $16 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Meet the County Commissioner | $7 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Meet Senator | $7 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $4 \%$ |

## Section F: 2022 General

 Elections and Devolution
## infotrak <br> Intention to vote in the 2022 general elections





# infotrak Perception on who will be President irrespective of choice 



Perception on who will be President irrespective of a choice

- Raila Odinga William Ruto - George Wajackoya - David Mwaure Waihiga ■ RTA ■I do not know

infotrak Perception on who will be President irrespective of a choice



## Reasons for winning the presidential elections

|  | George Wajackoya | Raila Odinga | William Ruto |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base (n) | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 0}$ |
| Good manifesto | $44 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| Protecting the rights of the poor | $18 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Popular | $9 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Pro women \& youth | $13 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Experienced | $4 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Well aligned with the government | $9 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ |

## DEMOGRAPHICS


infotrak

## Demographics

Education level


Source of income

| Kikuyu | 19\% |
| :---: | :---: |
| Luhya | 12\% |
| Luo | 10\% |
| Kalenjin | 9\% |
| Somali | 7\% |
| Kamba | 7\% |
| Meru | 6\% |
| Kipsigis | - $4 \%$ |
| Gussi | - 4\% |
| Mijikenda | - $3 \%$ |
| Turkana | - 3\% |
| Pokot | 2\% |
| Maasai | 1\% |
| Mixed ethnicity | 11\% |
| Bukusu | 11\% |
| Taita | 11\% |
| Isukha | II\% |
| Samburu | II\% |
| Borana | II\% |
| Swahili | II\% |
| Embu | I\% |
| Others | 5\% |



